प्रेयकः

आलोक कुमार छैन, सचिव, अक्तरांचल भारत ।

रोधार्ग.

- समरत प्रगुख राधिव/सबिव, उलारांचल शारान ।
- समस्त विनागाध्यक्ष / प्रमुख चार्यालयाध्यक्ष, चत्त्रचांचल ।
- रागरत जिलाबिकारी, उत्तरांचल ।

कार्विक अनुगाम-2

देहरादूनः दिनांकः 3 । अक्टूबर, 2003

विपय:

वैनिक भेतन/संविदा एवं नियत मेतन पर नियुक्त कार्मिकों को विनिधिगतीकरण एवं वेतनमान ।

महोदय.

उपर्युवत दिवय पर मुझे यह कहने का निदेश हुआ है कि शासन हारा दैनिक देतन / नियत वेतन एवं सीयदा पर नियुवितयों पर प्रतिबन्ध के सम्बन्ध में शासनादेश संख्याः 1095 / कार्निक—2 / 2002, दिनांकः 08.2.2002 जारी किया गया था । राज्याधीन सेवाओं / पदों पर नियुवितयों सुसंगत सेवा नियमावितयों में किये गये प्रावधानों के अनुसार किये जाने की व्यवस्था विद्यमान है । दैनिक वेतन पर नियुवित कर लम्बे समय तक घटाएँ। जाने के उपरान्त जहाँ एक और उसके विभियमितीकरण की मांग की जाती है पत्तें दुसरी और दैनिक वेतन कर्मियों का विनियमितीकरण किये जाने से अन्य अर्ह अभ्यर्थियों को पदों पर नियुवित के अवसर से वंगित करने की स्थिति उत्पन्न होती है, जो विशिश समत नहीं है और इस प्रकार की नियुवितयों से कार्य दवता प्रभावित होती है । उपरोक्त कारणों से माठ उच्चतम न्यायालय क्षारा दैनिक वेतन की नियुवितयों को उधित नहीं बताया है । (Air 1996 Supreme Court 1565 State of Himachal Pradesh V. Suresh Kumar Verma and another के उद्धहरण संलग्न है) ।

2. दिनिक वंतन किर्धि हारा प्रायः "समान कार्य के लिए समान वेतन" के आधार पर पदधारक की तरह देतनमान दिये जाने के लिए अनुरोध किया जाता रहा है तथा इस आश्रय की रिष्ट याचिकार्वे भी समय-समय पर न्यायालयों में प्रस्तुत की जाती रही है । माठ उद्यातम न्यायालय ने हरियाणा राज्य बनान तिलक राज व अन्य (ALIX

2003 Supreme Court 2003) में यह राग्य किया है कि वेतनवान किसी एवं के साथ सम्बद्ध एहता है, दीनिक बेतन वार्नी कोई एवं धारण नहीं करता है और उनकी स्थायी कियों के साथ कोई स्थानता नियांकित गई। है । आगे यह भी स्थाय किया है कि समान वर्ग के लिए रागान वेतन दी मांग के लिए यह आवश्यक है कि जिसके साथ तुलना की जा रही है उसके साथ पूर्ण रानानता है। । दीनिक वेतन किया है जिस कार्य के अधिक का किया जा रहा है उस कार्य के लिए उनको स्थायता वेतन/भत्तों से अधिक का किये जाने की बाध्यता नहीं है । नाठ उच्चतम न्यायालय के तिलक राज मानले में दी गरी ज्यास्था वो संवर्धि का स्थाय सहस्त है।

उपरोक्त के सम्बन्ध में मुझे बड़ कहने का निर्देश हुआ है कि कृपया दीनिक येतन कर्मियों के विनियमितीकरण एवं वेतननाम दिये जाने के मामले में भाठ उच्चतम न्यायालय के उपरोक्त निर्धयानुसार कार्यवाही करने का कन्ट करें ।

भवधीय.

(आलोक कुनाए चीन) सचिव ।

and the second of the second page.

met &

AIR 1996 Supreme Court 1565 State of Himachal Pradesh, Appellant V. Suresh Kumar Verma and another, Respondents.

We have heard the counsel on both sides. This appeal by special leave arises from the orders passed by the High Court of Himachal Pradesh. In this case in CWP No.722/93 dated 10.9.1993, the Division Bench of the High Court has disposed of the matters on the ground that the respondents were reengaged as Assistant Development Officers on daily wages pursuant to the direction by it. It is settled law that having made rules of recruitment to various services under the State or to a class of posts under the State, the State is bound to follow the same and to have the selection of the candidates made as per recruitment rules and appointments shall be made accordingly. From the date of discharging the duties attached to the post the incumbent becomes a member of the services. Appointment on daily wage basis is not an appointment to a post according to the Rules.

Mr. Mahabir Singh, learned counsel for the respondents contended that there was an admission in the counter-affidavit filed in the High Court that there were vacancies and that, therefore, the respondents are entitled to be continued in service. We do not agree with the contention. The vacancies require to be filled up in accordance with the rules and all the candidates who would otherwise be eligible are entitled to apply for when recruitment is made and seek consideration of their claims on merit according to the Rules for direct recruitment along with all the eligible candidates. The appointment on daily wages cannot be a conduit pipe for regular appointments which would be a back-door entry, detrimental to the efficiency of service and would breed seeds of nepotism and corruption. It is equally settled law that even for Class IV employees recruitment according to rules is a pre-condition. Only work-charged employees who perform the duties of transitory nature are appointed not to a post but are required to perform the work of transitory and urgent nature so long as the work exists. One temporary employee cannot be replaced by another temporary employee.

AIR 2003 Supreme Court 2658 State of Haryana and another, Appellants V. Tilak Raj and others, Respondents.

In a recent case this Court in State of Orissa and others V. Balaram Sahu and others (2003 (1) SCC 250) speaking through one of us (Doraiswamy Raju, J.) expressed the view that the principles laid down in the well considered decision of Jasmer Singh's case (supra) indicated the correct position of law. It was noted that the entitlement of the workers concerned was to the extent of minimum wages prescribed for such workers, it is more that what was being paid to them.

A scale of pay is attached to a definite post and in case of a daily wager, he holds no posts. The respondent workers cannot be held to hold any posts to claim even

too!

any comparison with the regular and permanent staff for any or all purposes including a claim for equal pay and allowances. To claim a relief on the basis of equality, it is for the claimants to substantiate a clear out basis or equivalence and a resultant hostile discrimination before becoming eligible to claim rights on a par with the other group vis-à-vis an alleged discrimination. NO material was placed before the High Court as to the nature of the duties of either entegories and it is not possible to hold that the principle of "equal pay for equal work" is an abstract one.

"Equal pay for equal work" is a concept which requires for its applicability complete and wholesale identity between a group of employees claiming identical pay scales and the other group of employees who have already earned such pay scales. The problem about equal pay cannot always be translated into a mathematical formula.

Judged in the background of aforesaid legal principles, the impugned judgment of the High Court is clearly indefensible and the same is set aside. However, the appellant-State has to ensure that minimum wages are prescribed for such workers and the same its paid to them. The appeal is allowed to the extent indicated above. There will be no order as to costs.

Order-accordingly.

and the second state of the second

or a second of the property of